

**GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT**

Public Services - Revenue Department - Anantapur District - Allegations of allotment of welfare schemes to ineligible persons against Smt.Rasoolbi, former Tahsildar, Putlu Mandal, Anantapur District (now retired) - Initiation of disciplinary action under rule 20 of APSCS (CC&A) Rules, 1991 - Charges framed - Enquiry conducted - Further action dropped - orders - issued.

REVENUE (VIGILANCE-II) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No.1218

Dated:01-08-2013

Read the following:

- 1) CCLA, Hyderabad, Charge Memo No. VS.I(5)/1083/2010, Dated:03.05.2010.
- 2) From Smt. Rassolbi, Tahsildar, Putlur, written statement of defence, dt: 17.5.2010.
- 3) CCLA, Procs. No. VS.I(5)/1083/2010, Dt: 10.2.2011.
- 4) Inquiry Report of the Joint Collector, Anantapur, dt: 8.9.2011.
- 5) CCLA, Letter No.VS.IV(2)/1083/2010, Dt: 17.1.2013.
- 6) From Smt. Rasoolbi, Tahsildar (Retired), representation, dt: 15.2.2013.
- 7) From the CCLA, Letter No. VS.IV(2)/1083/10, Dt: 10.5.2013.

** * * *

ORDER:

On the allegation of allotment of Welfare Schemes and assignment of Government lands in favour of ineligible persons in 5th phase of land distribution programme, in the reference 1st read above, the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration has framed following (5) charges against Smt. Rasoolbi, Tahsildar, Putlur Mandal, with a direction to submit her written statement of defence :

Charge - I

That she has placed proposals before the Assignment Committee to an extent of 45.20 acres of land covering 14 beneficiaries in putlur village without preparing any files and scrutiny of sub-divisions records for distribution of Government land in 5th phase.

Charge - II

That she has placed proposals before the Assignment Committee to an extent of 73.86 acres of land covering 24 beneficiaries in Garuguchinthalapalli Village without preparing any files and scrutiny of sub divisions records and without transferring the classification land from UAW to AW for distribution of Government land in 5th phase.

Charge - III

That she has proposed to place before the Assignment Committee to an extent of 29.94 acres of land covering 12 beneficiaries in Arakatavemula village without preparing any files and scrutiny of sub divisions records and without transferring the classification land from UAW to AW for distribution of Government land in 5th phase.

(P.T.O)

Charge - IV

She has placed proposals before the Assignment committee to an extent of 28.00 acres of land covering 10 beneficiaries in Kadavakallu village without preparing any files and scrutiny of sub divisions records and without transferring the classification land from UAW to AW for distribution of Government land in 5th phase.

Charge - V

She has proposed to place before the assignment committee to an extent of 177.50 acres of land covering 50 beneficiaries in Madugupalli village without preparing any files and scrutiny of sub divisions records and without transferring the classification of the land from Reserve Forest to AW for distribution of Government land in 5th phase and without any Government orders.

2. In the reference 2nd read above, the Charged Officer has submitted her written statement of defence and after examination, the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration has appointed the Joint Collector, Anantapur as the Inquiring Authority to conduct inquiry into the charges framed against her, vide reference 3rd read above.

3. In the reference 4th read above, the Inquiring Authority has furnished inquiry report and held that the charge-I is not held proved; charge-II, III & IV are partly proved; and charge-V is held proved; and mentioned that there are procedural lapses, but pattas have not been distributed (except for 12 accident victims LRs). In the reference 5th read above, the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration has communicated a copy of the inquiry report to the individual and she has submitted her representation in the reference 6th read above.

4. In the reference 7th read above, the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration has furnished record of inquiry conducted in the case and stated that the charge held proved against the Charged Officer relates to procedural lapses in having placed assignment proposals for approval to Assignment Committee without preparation of S.D. Records; however the Charged Officer did not distribute the pattas and hence, there is no loss and recommended to drop further action against her. He has requested the Government to conclude the disciplinary case under rule 9 of A.P. Revised Pension Rules, 1980 as the individual retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.5.2010.

5. Government after careful examination of the matter and keeping in view the findings of the Inquiring Authority and also the opinion of the Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, hereby drop further action against Smt. Rasool Bee, Tahsildar (Retired) in the case, under rule 9 of A.P. Revised Pension Rules, 1980.

::3::

6. The Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, Hyderabad is requested to take necessary action in the matter, accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

S.P. SINGH
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

Smt. Rasoolbi, Tahsildar (Retd.),

through Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, Hyderabad.

Chief Commissioner of Land Administration, Hyderabad

The District Collector, Anantapur.

Copy to:

The District Treasury Officer, Anantapur District.

The Accountant General, A.P., Hyderabad.

The Director, Treasuries & Accounts, Hyderabad.

The Revenue (Ser.III) Department.

The PS to Principal Secretary Revenue Department.

S.c./ S.f.

//FORWARDED BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER